## HIGHER ORDER DIFFERENTIABILITY

## FREDERICK TSZ-HO FONG

**Definition 1.**  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is said to be k-th differentiable at  $\vec{a} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  if there exists a k-th degree polynomial  $P_k : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$f(\vec{x}) = P_k(\vec{x}) + o(\|\vec{x} - \vec{a}\|^k)$$
 as  $\vec{x} \to \vec{a}$ .

**Theorem 2.** Suppose  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  has first order partial derivatives  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}$ 's near  $\vec{a} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and that all  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}$ 's are differentiable at  $\vec{a}$ , then f is twice differentiable at  $\vec{a}$ .

*Proof.* It is given that  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}$  is differentiable at  $\vec{a}$  for any i, so its partial derivatives  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}$  at  $\vec{a}$  exist, and we have

(0.1) 
$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(\vec{x}) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(\vec{a}) + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \Big|_{\vec{a}} (x_j - a_j) + o(\|\vec{x} - \vec{a}\|) \text{ as } \vec{x} \to \vec{a}.$$

Now we claim that f can be approximated by the following quadratic polynomial near  $\vec{a}$ :

$$P_2(\vec{x}) := f(\vec{a}) + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \bigg|_{\vec{a}} (x_i - a_i) + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \bigg|_{\vec{a}} (x_i - a_i)(x_j - a_j) + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \bigg|_{\vec{a}} (x_i - a_i)(x_j - a_j) + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \bigg|_{\vec{a}} (x_i - a_i)(x_j - a_j) + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \bigg|_{\vec{a}} (x_i - a_i)(x_j - a_j) \bigg|_{\vec{a}} (x_i - a_i)(x_j - a_j)(x_j - a_j)$$

For each  $\vec{x}$  near  $\vec{a}$ , we connect  $\vec{a}$  and  $\vec{x}$  a straight path:

$$\gamma(t) := \vec{a} + t(\vec{x} - \vec{a}), \ 0 \le t \le 1$$

In particular,  $\gamma(0) = \vec{a}$  and  $\gamma(1) = \vec{x}$ . Consider the composition  $g(t) := f(\gamma(t))$ . One can easily verify (left as an exercise) by the chain rule that

$$g'(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \Big|_{\gamma(t)} (x_i - a_i)$$
$$g''(t) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \Big|_{\gamma(t)} (x_j - a_j) (x_i - a_i)$$

Inspired by the one-variable case, we consider the error term between g and its second-order approximation near t = 0:

$$E_2(t) := g(t) - g(0) - g'(0)t - \frac{g''(0)}{2}t^2.$$

Note that  $E_2(1) = f(\vec{x}) - P_2(\vec{x})$  which we want to show it is of order  $o(\|\vec{x} - \vec{a}\|^2)$ .

By Cauchy's mean value theorem applied to  $E_2$  and  $t^2$ , there exists  $c \in (0,1)$  such that

$$E_2(1) = \frac{E_2(1) - E_2(0)}{1^2 - 0^2} = \frac{E_2'(c)}{2c} = \frac{g'(c) - g'(0) - g''(0)c}{2c}.$$

By the results of the above chain rule exercise and (0.1), we have:

$$E_{2}(1)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2c} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}} \Big|_{\gamma(c)} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}} \Big|_{\vec{a}} \right) (x_{i} - a_{i}) - c \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}} \Big|_{\vec{a}} (x_{i} - a_{i})(x_{j} - a_{j}) \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2c} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}} \Big|_{\vec{a}} (\gamma_{j}(c) - a_{j}) + o(\|\gamma(c) - \vec{a}\|) \right] (x_{i} - a_{i}) - c \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}} \Big|_{\vec{a}} (x_{i} - a_{i})(x_{j} - a_{j}) \right\}.$$

Note that  $\gamma(c) - \vec{a} = c(\vec{x} - \vec{a})$  and so  $\gamma_j(c) - a_j = c(x_j - a_j)$ , so after simplification we get:

$$|E_2(1)| = \left| o\big( \|\vec{x} - \vec{a}\| \big) \cdot \sum_i (x_i - a_i) \right| \le 0\big( \|\vec{x} - \vec{a}\|^2 \big)$$

As a result, we have

$$|f(\vec{x}) - P_2(\vec{x})| \le o(\|\vec{x} - \vec{a}\|^2),$$

as desired.

**Corollary 3.** If  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is  $C^2$  on an open set  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , i.e. its first partial derivatives are  $C^1$  on U, then f is twice differentiable on U.

*Proof.* By the fact that  $C^1$  implies differentiability.

For higher-order differentiability, we have a similar theorem and corollary:

**Theorem 4.** Suppose  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  has (k-1)-th order partial derivatives near  $\vec{a}$ , and that all of them are differentiable at  $\vec{a}$ , then f is k-th differentiable at  $\vec{a}$ .

*Proof.* Similar to the twice differentiable proof. The only thing that needs to be changed is that we should consider: (k)

$$E_k(t) := g(t) - g(0) - g'(0)t - \frac{g''(0)}{2!}t^2 - \dots - \frac{g^{(k)}(0)}{k!}t^k.$$

Apply Cauchy's mean value theorem on  $E_k(t)$  and  $t^k$  to estimate  $E_k(1)$ .

**Corollary 5.** If  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is  $C^k$  on an open set U in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then it is k-th differentiable on U.

 $\Box$ U,